IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

| MARK KAVAKICH, |) | |
|------------------------------|------|--------------------------|
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| v. |) | Civil Action No. 06-1109 |
| NORTH FRANKLIN TOWNSHIP, |) | Judge Lancaster |
| DONALD HAZLETT, MICHAEL QUIN | N,) | Magistrate Judge Hay |
| RICHARD HORNER, |) | |
| JERRY CAVANAUGH, |) | |
| DENNIS M. MAKEL, |) | |
| WILLIAM LOAR, |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendants. |) | |

AND NOW, this 31 day of ______, 2007, after the plaintiff,

Mark Kavakich, filed a Third Amended Complaint and an Amended Motion for Preliminary
Injunction in the above-captioned case, and after a Motion to Dismiss Third Amended
Complaint was filed by defendant, Dennis M. Makel, and after a Motion to Dismiss Third
Amended Complaint was filed by defendants, Richard Horner, North Franklin Township,
William Loar, Michael Quinn, Donald Hazlett, and Jerry Cavanaugh, and after a Report and
Recommendation was filed by the United States Magistrate Judge granting the parties ten days
after being served with a copy to file written objections thereto, and upon consideration of the
objections filed by plaintiff and the response to those objections filed by defendant, Dennis M.
Makel, and upon consideration of the objections filed by defendants, Richard Horner, North
Franklin Township, William Loar, Michael Quinn, Donald Hazlett, and Jerry Cavanaugh, and the
response to those objections filed by plaintiff, and after independent review of the record, and

upon consideration of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, which is adopted as the opinion of this Court,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss Third Amended
Complaint (Docket No. 50) filed by Richard Horner, North Franklin Township, William Loan,
Michael Quinn, Donald Hazlett, and Jerry Cavanaugh is DENIED, and the Amended Motion for
Preliminary Injunction (Docket No. 44) is DENIED.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss Third Amended Complaint (Docket No. 47) filed by Dennis M. Makel is GRANTED, and that the plaintiff is granted two weeks within which to amend his complaint.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 4(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, if the parties desire to appeal from this Order they must do so within thirty (30) days by filing a notice of appeal as provided in Rule 3, Fed.R.App.P.

GARY L. LANCASTER United States District Judge

cc: Honorable Amy Reynolds Hay United States Magistrate Judge

> Stanley M. Stein, Esquire Feldstein, Grinberg, Stein & McKee 428 Boulevard of the Allies Pittsburgh, PA 15219

David R. Johnson, Esquire Thomson, Rhodes & Cowie 1010 Two Chatham Center Pittsburgh, PA 15219 Dennis M. Makel, Esquire Makel & Associates 98 East Maiden Street Washington, PA 15301

David L. Haber, Esquire Weinheimer, Schadel & Haber 429 Fourth Avenue 602 Law & Finance Building Pittsburgh, PA 15219